HYPERBOLIC SPACE HAS STRONG NEGATIVE TYPE

RUSSELL LYONS

Abstract. It is known that hyperbolic spaces have strict negative type, a condition on the distances of any finite subset of points. We show that they have strong negative type, a condition on every probability distribution of points (with integrable distance to a fixed point). This implies that the function of expected distances to points determines the probability measure uniquely. It also implies that the distance covariance test for stochastic independence, introduced by Székely, Rizzo and Bakirov, is consistent against all alternatives in hyperbolic spaces. We prove this by showing an analogue of the Cramér–Wold device.

1. Introduction

Let \( (X,d) \) be a metric space. One says that \( (X,d) \) has negative type if for all \( n \geq 1 \) and all lists of \( n \) red points \( x_i \) and \( n \) blue points \( x'_i \) in \( X \), the sum \( 2 \sum_{i,j} d(x_i, x'_j) \) of the distances between the \( 2n^2 \) ordered pairs of points of opposite color is at least the sum \( \sum_{i,j} (d(x_i, x_j) + d(x'_i, x'_j)) \) of the distances between the \( 2n^2 \) ordered pairs of points of the same color. It is not obvious that Euclidean space has this property, but it is well known. By considering repetitions of \( x_i \) and taking limits, we arrive at a superficially more general property: For all \( n \geq 1 \), \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X \), and \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 0 \), we have

\[
\sum_{i,j \leq n} \alpha_i \alpha_j d(x_i, x_j) \leq 0.
\]

We say that \( (X,d) \) has strict negative type if, for every \( n \) and all \( n \)-tuples of distinct points \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \), equality holds in (1.1) only when \( \alpha_i = 0 \) for all \( i \).
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Again, Euclidean spaces have strict negative type. A simple example of a metric space of non-strict negative type is $\ell^1$ on a 2-point space, that is, $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the $\ell^1$-metric.

A (Borel) probability measure $\mu$ on $X$ has finite first moment if $\int d(o,x) \, d\mu(x) < \infty$ for some (hence all) $o \in X$; write $P_1(X,d)$ for the set of such probability measures. Suppose that $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in P_1(X,d)$. By approximating $\mu_i$ by probability measures of finite support, we obtain a yet more general property, namely, that when $X$ has negative type,

$$\int d(x_1, x_2) \, d(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2(x_1, x_2) \leq 0.$$  

We say that $(X,d)$ has strong negative type if it has negative type and equality holds in (1.2) only when $\mu_1 = \mu_2$. See [11] for an example of a (countable) metric space of strict but not strong negative type. The notion of strong negative type was first defined by [18]. Lyons [11] used it to show that a metric space $X$ has strong negative type iff the theory of distance covariance holds in $X$ just as in Euclidean spaces, as introduced by [17]. Lyons [11] noted that if $(X,d)$ has negative type, then $(X,d^r)$ has strong negative type when $0 < r < 1$.

Define

$$a_\mu(x) := \int d(x, x') \, d\mu(x')$$

for $x \in X$ and $\mu \in P_1(X,d)$. Lyons [11] remarked that if $(X,d)$ has negative type, then the map $\alpha : \mu \mapsto a_\mu$ is injective on $\mu \in P_1(X)$ iff $X$ has strong negative type. (There are also metric spaces not of negative type for which $\alpha$ is injective.)

The concept of negative type is old, but has enjoyed a resurgence of interest recently due to its uses in theoretical computer science, where embeddings of metric spaces, such as graphs, play a useful role in algorithms; see, for example, [13] and [5]. A list of metric spaces of negative type appears as Theorem 3.6 of [12]; in particular, this includes all $L^p$ spaces for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. On the other hand, $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the $\ell^p$-metric is not of negative type whenever $3 \leq n \leq \infty$ and $2 < p \leq \infty$, as proved by [6] combined with Theorem 2 of [2]; see [10] for an extension to spaces that include some Orlicz spaces, among others. Schoenberg [15], [16] showed that $X$ is of negative type iff there is a Hilbert space $H$ and a map $\phi : X \to H$ such that $\forall x, x' \in X \, d(x, x') = \|\phi(x) - \phi(x')\|^2$.

That real and complex hyperbolic spaces $\mathbb{H}^n$ have negative type was shown by [8], Section 4, and was made explicit by [7], Corollary 7.4; that they have strict negative type was shown by [9]. (The proof of those last authors has some minor errors that are easily corrected.) We extend this as follows.

**Theorem.** For all $n \geq 1$, real hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n_\mathbb{R}$ of dimension $n$ has strong negative type.
It is open whether complex hyperbolic spaces $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ have strong negative type, which would imply our theorem. More generally, it is open whether all Cartan–Hadamard manifolds have strong negative type, but [9] showed that those that have negative type have strict negative type. It is known that Cartan–Hadamard surfaces have negative type: see [3].

2. Proof of the theorem

Fix $o \in \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\sigma$ be the (infinite) Borel measure on geodesic closed half-spaces $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is invariant under isometries, normalized so that

$$\sigma(\{o \in S, x \notin S\}) = d(o, x)/2;$$

see [14]. Now let $\phi(x)$ be the function $S \mapsto \mathbf{1}_S(o) - \mathbf{1}_S(x)$ in $L^2(\sigma)$. It clearly satisfies Schoenberg’s condition that $d(x, y) = \|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\|^2$. We call this the Crofton embedding, as [4] was the first to give a formula for the distance of points in the plane in terms of lines intersecting the segment joining them. Thus, $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}$ has negative type. In fact, we shall not use Schoenberg’s theorem, even though this half is easy.

Instead, note that for $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in P_1(\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}})$, we have

$$\int d(x_1, x_2) d(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2(x_1, x_2) = \int \int |\mathbf{1}_S(x_1) - \mathbf{1}_S(x_2)|^2 d(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2(x_1, x_2) d\sigma(S).$$

Expanding the square and using the facts that

$$\int \mathbf{1}_S(x) d\nu^2(x, y) = \nu(S)\nu(X)$$

and

$$\int \mathbf{1}_S(x) \mathbf{1}_S(y) d\nu^2(x, y) = \nu(S)^2,$$

we obtain that

$$\int d(x_1, x_2) d(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2(x_1, x_2) = -2 \int (\mu_1(S) - \mu_2(S))^2 d\sigma(S).$$

This clearly proves negative type; also, it is easy to prove strict negative type from this, using the fact that every finite set has a point that is in a half-space that does not contain any other point of the set. In order to prove strong negative type, it clearly suffices to show that if $\mu_1(S) = \mu_2(S)$ for $\sigma$-a.e. $S$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in P_1(\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}})$, then $\mu_1 = \mu_2$. Consider the Klein model of $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}$ in which the space is the open unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and in which geodesics are Euclidean straight lines, whence hyperbolic half-spaces are the intersections of Euclidean half-spaces with the unit ball. Every probability measure in the Klein model thus is a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ that happens to be carried by the unit ball. The Cramér–Wold device (pp. 382–383 of [1]) now provides
the desired conclusion. (The usual statement of the device is that if \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) are probability measures on Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^n \) that satisfy \( \mu_1(S) = \mu_2(S) \) for all half-spaces \( S \), then \( \mu_1 = \mu_2 \). Its proof extends easily to the weaker hypothesis that \( \mu_1(S) = \mu_2(S) \) for all \( S \) of the form \( S = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n ; x \cdot t \leq \alpha \} \) for a set \( B \) of pairs \( (t,\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \) with the projection \( \pi B \) of \( B \) to \( \mathbb{R}^n \) being dense in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and for each \( t \in \pi B \), the set \( \{ \alpha ; (t,\alpha) \in B \} \) being dense in \( \mathbb{R} \). Alternatively, we may appeal to the fact that \( \mathbb{R}^n \) has strong negative type for our desired conclusion.)
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